

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/770,782	01/26/2001	Alexandra D. Bermel	82111HEC	8268	
75	590 02/11/2003			10	
Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street			EXAMINER		
			SCHWARTZ, PAMELA R		
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1774		
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) BERMEL ET AL.	f				<i>H</i> ン-			
Examiner Pamela R. Schwartz - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the previsions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after Str. (MONTH3 from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is beas than thiny (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period illaply and will apply and villaply the foliation is the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period illaply and villaply statution. - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period illaply and villaply and v			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Pamela R. Schwartz 1774 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c_ver sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Editations of them may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, he maximum statisticy period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, he maximum statisticy period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, he maximum statisticy period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce ally seamed patient term adjustment. Sea 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1)	, Office Action Summary		09/770,782	BERMEL ET AL.				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of the may be available under the provisions of 3 (7ER 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after Six (8) MONTHS from the mailting date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, he maximum statutory period will apply and will apply and will sorpice Six (8) MONTHS from the mailting date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, he maximum statutory period will apply and will apply and will apply and will be considered timely. If the period for reply is specified above, he maximum statutory period will apply and will apply and will apply and will be considered timely. If the period for reply is specified above, he maximum statutory period will apply and will apply and will be considered timely. If the period for reply is specified above, he maximum statutory period will apply and will apply and will be considered timely. If the period for reply is specified above, he maximum statutory period will apply and will apply and will apply and will be considered timely. If the period for reply is specified above, he maximum statutory period will apply and will apply and will apply and will be considered timely. Any reply received by the Office labor time the period of the specification is officer and the maximum statutory period will apply and will apply and will apply and will apply and will apply the first timely flex, may reduce any statutory maximum statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Any reply received by the Minimum statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If apply the period above claim in the period apply the maximum statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If apply the period apply t			Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (c) (CXTTAS from the mailing date of this communication. He period from sylv seedled shows is best than theny (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of brinty (30) days and the statutory minimum of the statutory and the statutory minimum of the statutory and the statutory minimum of the statutory and the statuto								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be variable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period or reply selected above, is the small mity (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for may is specified above, in the mailing date of this communication. If the period for may is specified above, in the mailing date of the provision	Period fo		pears on the c ver sheet with the	correspondence address				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 November 2002. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any earn	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply opened for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period treeto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror t, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communic ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 M	November 2002					
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		/ 		proceedition as to the mor	rito io			
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	ils is			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)	_							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			wn from consideration.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	6)⊠							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			r election requirement.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappr	oved by the Examiner.				
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.					
 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	12) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	Pri rity ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	ion No				
	* S	application from the International Bur	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).	14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applic	cation).			
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 	a	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been red	ceived.	ŕ			
Attachment(s)			- p	, and 01 121,				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10 Other:	2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informat	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u></u> ·			

Art Unit: 1774

D. M. Ser Living A. Control of the C

1. All previous grounds of rejection have been overcome.

2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are indefinite because it is unclear whether or not the binder of the claims has been cross-linked and if the binder has been cross-linked, whether applicants still intend for 20 wt% cross-linking agent (based upon the amount of binder) to remain. The examiner has reviewed the specification, but was unable to make a clear determination. Clarification is required.

If applicants intend for the binder of the final product to be cross-linked, then the claims could be clarified by stating that the image-receiving layer is "formed from" the components (rather than the current language that layer "comprises" the components). For purposes of the following rejections, the examiner will treat the binder as having been cross-linked by the cross-linking agent.

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 1774

Claims 1-8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,419,355. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patent claims recite an ink jet printing method using a medium that has a support and a base coating layer which may contain fumed alumina (cl. 11) and polyvinyl alcohol that has been cross-linked with 2,3-dihydroxy-1,4-dioxane (cl. 7 and 18). The cationic polymeric particles recited by claim 1 of the patent will act as a mordant. The patent discloses particle size in claim 1 but the claims are silent with respect to the percentage of cross-linking agent. Since applicants' claims are being interpreted as including cross-linked binder rather than unreacted binder and cross-linker, the patent claims are considered to obviate the instant claims.

Even if applicants intend to require the 20 wt% cross-linking agent prior to cross-linking, since the patent claims are silent as to how much cross-linking agent is intended to be present, it is proper to look to the specification to define this feature necessary to practice the patented invention. The ratio of 10:2, binder to cross-linker, provides the guidance to use the instantly claimed value of at least 20 weight percent cross-linking agent (see col. 7, lines 14-19).

The support material recited by claim 6 is conventional and its use would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art.

4. Claims 1-8 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,497,460. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from

Art Unit: 1774

each other because the patent claims recite an ink jet printing method using a medium that has a support and an ink retaining layer which may contain fumed alumina and polyvinyl alcohol that has been cross-linked with 2,3-dihydroxy-1,4-dioxane (cl. 1 and 10). The ink-retaining layer is disclosed as including a mordant (cl. 16). The patent discloses particle size in claim 1 but the claims are silent with respect to the percentage of cross-linking agent. Since applicants' claims are being interpreted as including cross-linked binder rather than unreacted binder and cross-linker, the patent claims are considered to obviate the instant claims.

Even if applicants intend to require the 20 wt% cross-linking agent prior to cross-linking, since the patent claims are silent as to how much cross-linking agent is intended to be present, it is proper to look to the specification to define this feature necessary to practice the patented invention. The ratio of 9:4, binder to cross-linker, provides the guidance to use the instantly claimed value of at least 20 weight percent cross-linking agent (see col. 6, lines 31-33).

The support material is recited by claim 12 as resin-coated paper and polyethylene is most commonly used for this purpose. Therefore, its use would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pamela R. Schwartz whose telephone number is 703-308-2424. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly, can be reached on (703) 308-0449. The fax

Art Unit: 1774

703-872-9310.

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

PRSchwartz February 8, 2003

(1) WALL

Page 5

DRIMARY EXAMINE